- '

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, etc.,

Plaintiff,

NOTICE AND ORDER FOR EARLY

NEUTRAL EVALUATION CONFERENCE

V.

ARP COMPUTER SOLUTIONS, INC.,
etc.; and SALAH SOLTANI, etc.,

Defendants.

Defendants.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that an Early Neutral Evaluation

Conference will be held on <u>September 5, 2008</u> at <u>10:000 a.m.</u> in the chambers of the Honorable Jan M. Adler, United States

Magistrate Judge, Room 1165, U.S. Courthouse, 940 Front Street, San Diego, California.

Pursuant to Rule 16.1(c) of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, all named parties, all counsel, and any other person(s) whose authority is required to negotiate and enter into settlement shall appear in person at the conference, shall be prepared to discuss the claims and defenses, and shall be legally

and factually prepared to discuss and resolve the case at the
Early Neutral Evaluation Conference. The individual(s) present
at the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference with settlement
authority must have the unfettered discretion and authority on
behalf of the party to: 1) fully explore all settlement options
and to agree during the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference to
any settlement terms acceptable to the party (G. Heileman Brewing
Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp., 871 F.2d 648, 653 (7th Cir.
1989)), 2) change the settlement position of a party during the
course of the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference (Pitman v.
Brinker Int'l, Inc., 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003)), and
3) negotiate a settlement without being restricted by any
predetermined level of authority (Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc.,
270 F.3d 590, 596 (8th Cir. 2001)).

Governmental entities may appear through litigation counsel only. As to all other parties, appearance by litigation counsel only is <u>not</u> acceptable. Retained outside corporate counsel <u>shall not</u> appear on behalf of a corporation as the party who has the authority to negotiate and enter into a settlement. The failure of any counsel, party or authorized person to appear at the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference as required will result in the immediate imposition of sanctions.

All conference discussions will be informal, off the record, privileged, and confidential.

Counsel for any non-English speaking parties is responsible for arranging for the appearance of an interpreter at the conference.

Although the submission of statements is <u>not</u> required in

2 08cv1026

advance of the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference, parties may submit concise statements if desired. If a statement is submitted, it shall be provided to chambers no later than one week prior to the scheduled conference. If the parties submit statements in connection with the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference, they may either do so on a confidential basis or may exchange their statements.

Rule 26 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure shall apply to this case. All discovery shall be stayed until after the Rule 26(f) conference, unless otherwise permitted by Rule 26(f) or court order.

In the event the case does not settle at the Early Neutral Evaluation Conference, the parties shall also be prepared to discuss the following matters at the conclusion of the conference:

- 1. Any anticipated objections under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) to the initial disclosure provisions of Rule 26(a)(1)(A-E);
 - 2. The scheduling of the Rule 26(f) conference;
- 3. The date of initial disclosures and the date for lodging the discovery plan following the Rule 26(f) conference; and
- 4. The scheduling of a Case Management Conference pursuant to Rule 16(b).

Plaintiff's(s') counsel shall give notice of the Early
Neutral Evaluation Conference to parties responding to the

3 08cv1026

¹Statements may be delivered directly to chambers, e-mailed to <u>efile_adler@casd.uscourts.gov</u>, or faxed to (619) 702-9939.

complaint after July 22, 2008.

Questions regarding this case may be directed to the Magistrate Judge's law clerk at (619) 557-5585.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 22, 2008

Jan M. Adler

U.S. Magistrate Judge

4 08cv1026

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO CONSENT TO TRIAL BEFORE A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT A U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF THIS DISTRICT MAY, UPON THE CONSENT OF ALL PARTIES, ON FORM 1A AVAILABLE IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE, CONDUCT ANY OR ALL PROCEEDINGS, INCLUDING A JURY OR NON-JURY TRIAL, AND ORDER THE ENTRY OF A FINAL JUDGMENT.

COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE CONSENT OF ALL PARTIES, SHOULD THEY DESIRE TO CONSENT.

YOU SHOULD BE AWARE THAT YOUR DECISION TO CONSENT OR NOT TO CONSENT IS ENTIRELY VOLUNTARY AND SHOULD BE COMMUNICATED SOLELY TO THE CLERK OF COURT. ONLY IF ALL PARTIES CONSENT WILL THE JUDGE OR MAGISTRATE JUDGE TO WHOM THE CASE HAS BEEN ASSIGNED BE INFORMED OF YOUR DECISION.

JUDGMENTS OF THE U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGES ARE APPEALABLE TO

THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS STATUTE AND THE
FEDERAL RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE.